International SPM Symposium | FA M Failure Analysis & **Material Testing**









Scanning Probe Microscopy for Site Specific Defect Localization in FinFET Devices Nirmal Adhikari, PhD

GlobalFoundries, Essex Junction, VT, USA nirmal.adhikari@globalfoundries.com

Scanning Capacitance Microscopy (SCM) has proven itself as a critical technique for finding and understanding three dimensional dopant related root cause mechanisms. Three-dimensional device architectures in advanced node technology such as fin field-effect-transistors (FinFET), requires an analytical technique to map the dopant and carrier distributions accurately as their vertical positioning in the source/drain (S/D), channel, conformality and absolute concentration affects the device performance. In addition, physical failure analysis of FinFET devices frequently reaches a "dead end" with a No Defect Found (NDF) result when channel doping issues are the suspected culprit (e.g., high VTH, low VTH, low gain, sub-threshold leakage, etc.). In new technology development, the lack of empirical dopant profile data to support device and process models and engineering has had, and continues to have, a profound negative impact on these emerging technologies. Therefore, there exists a critical need for dopant profiling in the industry to support the latest technologies that use FinFET as their fundamental building block. Location-specific (i.e., specific fin) SCM doping profile of FinFET devices has not been resolved spatially before to individual fins level, therefore many challenges exist. Some of the critical issues are need of highly controllable material removal, SCM spatial resolution to accurately resolve the defect and strength of the doping signal. Here, we present the novel sample preparation techniques and analysis for advanced node FinFET devices using scanning probe microscopy (SPM).



Context microscopy and spectroscopy to advance semiconductor devices - conductive AFM linked to a wealth of other analytical techniques via nanoGPS technology

Prof. Silke H. Christiansen

Fraunhofer Institute for ceramic technologies and systems – IKTS, Äussere Nürnbergerstr. 62, 91301 Forchheim, Germany Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 14, 14195 Berlin, Germany silke.christiansen@ikts.fraunhofer.de

The design complexity of electronic components and the heterogeneity of new materials constantly increase with decreasing device sizes even down to the nanometer scale. Engineering of novel devices will account for a high level of reliability, sustainability, and longevity as part

International SPM Symposium | FAN Failure Analysis & **Material Testing**







of quality standards which have to be met. In the related device optimization endeavor the detection and classification of nanoscale material imperfections as well as scale bridging material and device properties will be success critical and require the use of complementing analytical methods.

Here, the true correlation of electron- ion-, optical- and x-ray microscopy and complementing spectroscopies (optical, mass) is constantly emerging including the use of atom probe techniques in this analytical context. To account for truly correlative analytics, the application of the nanoGPS technology is an enabler when rigorously be applied for the aforementioned analytical modalities.

We will demonstrate the use of the nanoGPS technology to correlate analytical and imaging modalities for selected examples of silicon based ASICs. The underlying preparative and consecutive analytical workflows will be demonstrated. In addition, we will show the application of machine learning strategies to aforementioned heterogeneous data to further improve efficient material and device optimization.



Enabling electrical SPM for advanced nanoelectronics device characterization

Thomas Hantschel, PhD

imec, Materials and Components Analysis Department Scanning Probe Microscopy Team thomas.hantschel@imec.be

Scanning probe microscopy (SPM) has become an indispensable tool for the development of next generations of nanoelectronics devices given the achievable nanometre spatial resolution and its high versatility to probe a broad range of signals (e.g. topography, electrical properties, magnetic fields, temperature). This talk concentrates on the use of dedicated SPM methods for the nanoscopic electrical characterization of semiconductor device structures. The scanning spreading resistance microscopy (SSRM) method is discussed which supports the development and fine tuning of advanced fabrication nodes by measuring the carrier concentration inside transistor device structures with 1 nanometre spatial resolution in a quantitative manner. Furthermore, the conductive AFM (CAFM) approach is presented which helps us to study and understand the formation mechanism of nanoscopic filament structures in advanced memory structures. We show that the establishment of high-performance conductive diamond tips and the development of SPM nanotomography have been two important enablers for these advances. Finally, we introduce the promising reverse tip sample (RTS) configuration which overcomes the single-tip limitation of conventional SPM.

International SPM Symposium | FAN Failure Analysis & **Material Testing**









SPM challenges of semiconductor failure analysis

Dr. Andreas Altes Infineon Technologies AG Am Campeon 1-1285579 Neubiberg, Germany andreas.altes@infineon.com

Miniaturized and integrated semiconductor devices provide good opportunities to benefit from modern SPM capabilities in order to investigate its characteristics down to the nm-scale. Next to the

investigation of topography and roughness at various surfaces during the process of production especially the 2D analysis of doping type and doping concentration within active area of Si is of high interest at manufacture of semiconductors. Hereby the well-known common methods like SCM and SSRM are applied frequently. Basic requirement for obtaining conclusive measurements is the usage of an reliable and stable SPM equipment, which is easy to operate and comfortable in usage. In addition to the pure scan procedure, which is just a small part somewhere in the middle of the entire SPM analysis flow, there is guite a lot of effort to spend for preliminaries and post processing. This is all the more valid if there is just one "golden" sample" available for analysis. Within this presentation – using an SSRM analysis as an example - it is demonstrated how a systematic and sophisticated approach will finally lead to a successful SPM analysis which in turn results into helpful conclusions. By pointing out particular challenges it is also shown how important it is to continue on further method development in order to fulfill requirements of new technologies with even smaller scales. Thus, further improvements of achievable spatial resolution, sensitivity and stability by using e.g. vacuum conditions are just as well desired as methods for determination of quantitative doping concentration by using e.g. SMM or sMIM.



Exploring dynamic charging processes in semiconductor devices using time-resolved Kelvin probe force microscopy

Ilka M. Hermes Park Systems Europe GmbH, Schildkrötstrasse 15, 68199 Mannheim, Germany ihermes@parksystems.com

Daniel Sommer United Monolithic Semiconductors GmbH, Wilhelm-Runge-Straße 11, Ulm, Germany Daniel.Sommer@ums-rf.com

Trap-related phenomena in semiconductor devices can limit device performances significantly. Here, a spatial- and time-resolved visualization of the charge distribution inside electronic devices upon electrical excitation can give valuable insights on these phenomena and aid to eliminate performance bottlenecks. Adapting to ever decreasing device dimensions, Kelvin probe force

International SPM Symposium | FA M Failure Analysis & **Material Testing**







microscopy (KPFM) resolves charge and potential distributions with a nanometer resolution.[1] In particular, frequency modulated (FM) KPFM methods, like sideband KPFM, have shown the highest spatial resolution and electrical accuracy.[2]

In this study, we investigated dynamic charging and draining processes on GaN-based high electron mobility transistors (HEMTs) with varying device passivation. Different operation conditions of the coplanar devices are obtained by actively modulating the gate and drain voltage while drain and gate current are monitored. Simultaneously, a line-by-line sideband KPFM approach acquired the spatial-and time-resolved surface potential distributions across the gate-drain channel. The potential signals revealed dissimilarities between in electric field distribution and build-up depending on the dielectric material used for surface passivation – a result that may be linked to the device behaviour during reliability tests. Furthermore, we found that after switching, some transistors exhibited a slow charge drain over several minutes in their potential response, which could be caused by a slow redistribution of trapped charges located in or nearby the surface of the gate-drain area.

[1] Melitz, W.; Shen, J.; Kummel, A. C.; Lee, S. Kelvin probe force microscopy and its application. Surf. Sci. Rep. 2011 66, 1–27.

[2] Axt, A.; Hermes, I. M.; Bergmann, V. W.; Tausendpfund, N.; Weber, S. A. L. Know your full potential: Quantitative Kelvin probe force microscopy on nanoscale electrical devices. Beilstein J. Nanotechnol. 2018 9(1), 1809-1819.





Contributed Talks Abstracts

International SPM Symposium | FAN Failure Analysis & **Material Testing**









Failure Analysis using in-SEM nanoprobing

Andrew Jonathan Smith Kleindiek Nanotechnik GmbH, Germany andrew.smith@kleindiek.com

Failure analysis and fault isolation are important processes in product validation, yield optimisation, and other quality control procedures. In-SEM nanoprobing can be used to test individual transistors or locate other issues using a host of analysis methods. For example, many failures

such as buried shorts or opens can be guickly and efficiently located by employing the Electron Beam Absorbed Current (EBAC) imaging technique. EBAC is performed by placing a probe tip on a surface contact (e.g. a via). The electron beam scans the surface and the electron beam's current is registered at the probe tip each time the beam 'touches' a conductive path to the tip. In this manner, conductive pathways across multiple layers of the device can be tracked. Another fault isolation method: Current Imaging, can be used for locating gate leakages. In this case, a probe tip is brought into contact with the sample and rastered across the surface. The tip is biased and the resulting current flow is measured at the sample. The current flow is displayed for each position in the scan yielding a map of current flow. Gate leakages and other abnormalities can be identified in this manner. EBAC, Current Imaging, and other techniques will be discussed in this work.



Minimizing electron beam exposure to the sample during nanoprobing

Marc Heinemann SmarAct GmbH. Germany Heinemann@smaract.com

Electrical probing of nanomaterials or microchips of the latest technology nodes requires careful alignment of probe and sample to each other. This is usually done inside a scanning electron microscope (SEM) to

visualize the probe and sample. Alignment is done manually based on visual feedback from the SEM images. Due to the small contact area of about 10nm² between the probe and sample, alignment is time consuming and continuous irradiation with the electron beam leads to carbon deposition and charging of the sample. The carbon deposits can become problematic because they increase the contact resistance between the sample and the probe. The charging of the sample can lead to a change in its physical properties or even permanent damage. Therefore, it is important to reduce the charging to an absolute minimum when measuring unaltered electrical properties of the sample. The approach to achieve this will be shown in this presentation. It will be shown how the use of closed-loop controlled positioners with low thermal drift in combination with automatic landing ability of the probes on the sample helps to achieve correct alignment between probe and sample without exposing the sample to the electron beam longer than necessary.

Contributed Talks Abstracts

International SPM Symposium | FA M Failure Analysis & **Material Testing**









Failure Analysis on advanced nodes using SEM nanoprobing and AFM nanoprobing, comparison of the 2 techniques.

Jean-Philippe Roux

Sector Technologies SAS, France / Thermo Fisher, USA jean-philippe.roux@sector-technologies.com



Semiconductor defect localization: electrical failure analysis with nanoprobing in SEM & AFM

William Courbat

Imina Technologies SA, Switzerland courbat@imina.ch



